

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 9/2013 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
 Timber sale appraiser's name PIETRUSZEWSKI / BAKKEN ADMIN
 Permittee ERNE BINKLEY Permit # 212068

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked

Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines

Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines

No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint

Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Comments .25 ac. trespass occurred on the Sale site.

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)

Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)

Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)

Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved _____ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 20-25.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 10-15

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments Good - Small unit + size & design

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

Recon & database search

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 3/17/2014 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
 Timber sale appraiser's name PIETRUSZEWSKI / BAKKEN ADMIN
 Permittee CHUCK THOMAS JR. Permit # 212077

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes ___ No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked ___
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design ___

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines ___
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines ___
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

- Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes ___ No
- If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes ___ No ___

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% ___ 30-60% ___ +60% ___

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present ___ , if Present-spill response taken Yes ___ , No ___

Comments Sale boundary was located outside of the RMZ.

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
 - Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint ___
 - Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___
 - Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___
- Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ___

Comments _____

- Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings
- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
 - Good ___ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
 - Fair ___ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
 - Poor ___ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved ___ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 15-20-25.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes ___ No ___

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 5

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

Recon & database search

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 6/10/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
Timber sale appraiser's name BROWN
Permittee STEVE LYSING Permit # 213033

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes ___ No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design ___

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines ___
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines ___
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

- Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes ___ No
- If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes ___ No ___

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% ___ 30-60% ___ +60% ___

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present ___, if Present-spill response taken Yes ___, No ___.

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
- Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint ___
- Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___
- Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ___

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
- Good ___ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
- Fair ___ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
- Poor ___ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved ___ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 10-15.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes ___ No ___

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

*No signed
UP 1/10/15*

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 8-10

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

Database Search & record

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements

Good Sale - many units ~~set~~

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

*RMZ
Woods*

Date of Audit: 6/10/14 Inspector(s) name(s) Moore, Braun, Bing, Graham
Timber sale appraiser's name BING
Permittee BIG H Permit # 211056

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked *sale boundary is outside of RMZ*
Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines _____
Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines _____
Zone was not considered at all within the sale design _____

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines _____
Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines _____
No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No
If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint _____
Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map _____
Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map _____
Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No
Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
Good _____ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
Fair _____ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
Poor _____ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved _____ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 5-10.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No
Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

*MT
TL
6-1*

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 12-15

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

Recon & database search

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

Utilization

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 6/10/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE, BROWN, BING, GRAHAM
Timber sale appraiser's name BING
Permittee MIKE THOMAS / TONY LYSENB Permit # 214012

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked

Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines

Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines

No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint

Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No

Comments SMALL LANDINGS.

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)

Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)

Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)

Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved 15% or estimate the number of leave trees per acre _____

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments N/A

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments Slash scattered over entire site.

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 7

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments Bushes, irregular edges, islands

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

Review and Search of Arch database

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements

Very well designed especially with regard to visuals.

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 6/10/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE, BROWN, BING, GRANHAM
 Timber sale appraiser's name BROWN
 Permittee MIKE THOMAS / TONY LYSING Permit # 213051

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes ___ No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked ___

Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines ___

Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines ___

Zone was not considered at all within the sale design ___

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines ___

Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines ___

No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes ___ No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes ___ No ___

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% ___ 30-60% ___ +60% ___

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present ___ , if Present-spill response taken Yes ___ , No ___ .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint ___

Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ___

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)

Good ___ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)

Fair ___ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)

Poor ___ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments [Show calc. & % new rds & lngs of sale area] Landings have been regraded

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved N/A or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 1.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes ___ No ___

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 8-10

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

Recon & NH database search

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements

Great job of harvest, site prep, & plant in a timely fashion

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 6/10/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE, BROWN, BING, GRAHAM
Timber sale appraiser's name GRAHAM
Permittee BIGH Permit # 213045

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes ___ No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked ___
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design ___

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines ___
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines ___
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

- Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes ___ No
- If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes ___ No ___

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% ___ 30-60% ___ +60% ___

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present ___ , if Present-spill response taken Yes ___ , No ___ .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
- Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint ___
- Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___
- Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ___
Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
- Good ___ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
- Fair ___ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
- Poor ___ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lngs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved 57% or estimate the number of leave trees per acre _____

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes ___ No ___
Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 3

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments Trees were left along highway to protect view from the Highway buildings. Visual buffer

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No If so, HOW

Recon and search of the ANH data base

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

Good job!

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Some
notes
also

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 6/10/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
Timber sale appraiser's name GRANIN
Permittee DAN ROCKENSOCK Permit # 212604

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes ___ No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines ___
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design ___

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines ___
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines ___
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ ___

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

- Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes ___ No
- If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes ___ No ___

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% ___ 30-60% ___ +60% ___

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present ___, if Present-spill response taken Yes ___, No ___.

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
- Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint ___
- Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___
- Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ___

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
- Good ___ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
- Fair ___ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
- Poor ___ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lngs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved ___ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 10-15.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes ___ No ___

Comments _____

Buffers

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 6

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments Buffers along highway and size of design. Landings along from highway

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

Recon and search of NH database

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

Great job along a Scenic Highway

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT