LD-MS6 Form 001

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

Timber sale appraiser's name	GRAHAM, BAKKEN, NORCAN
Permittee BEVSON	(s) name(s) MOORE GRAHAM, BOKKEN, MORCAN Permit # 214049
er quality concerns and general s	site conditions
	the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)
Describe delineation of the	
Zone is accurately a	
	ked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
	marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
	dered at all within the sale design
B. RMZ harvest	
	rr within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
	vithin the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
No harvesting occurred wit	thin the RMZ
C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation	
	res needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No \( \sigma \)
	tures appropriately placed? YesNo
D. Rutting	
	rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%
	ricants]: Absent, Present, if Present-spill
response taken Yes, No	
Comments	
<b>boundaries</b> Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not c	ately delineated in paint
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not c Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked	ately delineated in paint
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not comments  It is not clearly marked Comments  It is not clearly marked Comments  The size and location of roads and location of roads and location.	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not comments  It is not clearly marked Comments  It is not clearly marked Comments  The size and location of roads and location of roads and location.	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not o Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Ition and placement of access roa The size and location of roads and I Comments Rate the current condition of the access	ately delineated in paint elearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map  ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No cess roads and landings
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not o Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Iition and placement of access roa The size and location of roads and I Comments  Rate the current condition of the acc  Excellent (little	ately delineated in paint elearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map  ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not of Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Iition and placement of access roa The size and location of roads and I Comments Rate the current condition of the acc  Excellent (little Good (minimal)	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map  ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) I rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not of Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Ition and placement of access roa The size and location of roads and I Comments  Rate the current condition of the acc  Excellent	ately delineated in paint elearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map  ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No  cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) I rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings) iceable rutting, debris left on landings)
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not of Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Ition and placement of access roa The size and location of roads and I Comments  Rate the current condition of the acc  Excellent	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map  ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No  cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) I rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings) iceable rutting, debris left on landings) able amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not of Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Ition and placement of access roa The size and location of roads and I Comments  Rate the current condition of the acc  Excellent	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map  ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No  cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) I rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings) iceable rutting, debris left on landings) able amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not of Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Comments  Rate the current condition of the acc  Excellent (little  Good (minimal)  Fair (Some noting  Poor (considera)  Comments & Indgs of	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map  ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No  cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) I rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings) iceable rutting, debris left on landings) able amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not of Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments  Ition and placement of access roa The size and location of roads and I Comments  Rate the current condition of the acc  Excellent	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) I rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings) iceable rutting, debris left on landings) ible amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing) if sale areal
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not a Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments    Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments	ately delineated in paint
Sale boundary is clearly and accura Sale boundary is accurate, but not a Sale boundary does not correspond Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments    Sale boundary is not clearly marked Comments	ately delineated in paint clearly marked in paint to timber appraisal map d and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ads and landings landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No cess roads and landings or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) I rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings) iceable rutting, debris left on landings) ible amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing) if sale areal

11. Natural Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes

Inspector suggestions for improvements

Poor \_\_\_\_ (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

12. Overall timber sale rating

5. Slash management

LD-MS6 Form 001	Last Revised: May 23, 2014
Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch	,
sh management	
Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the rege	
How well were slash regulations followed during the harves	
Regulations were fully implemented across the entir	
Regulations were mostly implemented across most of	
Regulations were partially implemented over some of	of the sale
Regulations were not followed	•
Comments	
ization	
Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale	were followed:
Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood	
Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less t	
Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantal	
If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left	t on site? Yes No
Comments	
est development plans	
Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate	to for the site
Forest development plans were provided, but may not be ful	
Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsis	
Comments	tent with site/conditions
ing	
How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scal	le Stick
If an alternate landing is used:	
Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes	No V
Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to	
Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen	
Comments	<u> </u>
documentation	
Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes	
How many times was this sale visited while it was being harv	vested? $67 - 51.7760.17$
Comments	
ual quality	
Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality g	uidelines? Yes 🗸 No
If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No	<del></del>
Comments	
ural Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes	No . If so, HOW
a check of the NH database and 1	No If so, HOW
erall timber sale rating	7
Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implement	
Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented	ed, some areas for improvement)
Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but s	some key areas for improvement)

LD-MS6 Form 001

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

Timber sale appr	aiser's name <u>GRAHAM</u>
Permittee Sne	aiser's name GRAHAM  VE LISENS LONE OAK Permit # 2/40/7
ater quality conce	rns and general site conditions lagement zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)
A. Riparian man	agement zone on the sale area? Yes No No (if No, skip to #1c)
Describe	delineation of the RMZ
	one is accurately and clearly marked
	one is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
	one is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
	one was not considered at all within the sale design
B. RMZ harvest	
	g has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
	g has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
	sting occurred within the RMZ
C. Erosion/Runo	
	diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? YesNo
To To W'	were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No
D. Rutting	f general sale area rutted: 10% or less10-30% 30-60% +60%
Percent of	general sale area rulled: 10% or less V 10-30% 30-60% +60%
E. FIAZARGOUS MA	aterials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent, Present, if Present-spill
	n Yes, No
Comments	
le boundaries Sale boundary is	clearly and accurately delineated in paint
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do	clearly and accurately delineated in paint accurate, but not clearly marked in paint es not correspond to timber appraisal map not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placent The size and local Comments	es not correspond to timber appraisal map not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map nent of access roads and landings tion of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and locat Comments Rate the current c	es not correspond to timber appraisal map not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map nent of access roads and landings tion of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ondition of the access roads and landings
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and local Comments Rate the current c Excellent	net of access roads and landings tion of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  noticition of the access roads and landings (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and local Comments Rate the current comments Excellent Good	nent of access roads and landings tion of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo ondition of the access roads and landings (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and locat Comments Rate the current comments Excellent Good Fair	net of access roads and landings tion of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  noticition of the access roads and landings  (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and locat Comments Rate the current comments Facellent Good Fair	nent of access roads and landings tion of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo ondition of the access roads and landings (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  ndition and placen The size and local Comments Rate the current c Excellent Good Fair Poor	net of access roads and landings tion of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  noticition of the access roads and landings  (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and local Comments Rate the current comments Good Fair Poor Comments[Show cale	es not correspond to timber appraisal map
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and local Comments Rate the current comments Fair Poor Comments[Show calcate the current comments]	es not correspond to timber appraisal map
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary do Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and local Comments Rate the current of Excellent Good Fair Poor Comments Show calculate the percent of the size and reser Estimate the percent of the size and th	accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
le boundaries Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Sale boundary is Comments  Indition and placen The size and locat Comments Rate the current of Excellent Good Fair Poor Comments[Show cale  ave trees and reser Estimate the perces per acre 5 - 6	es not correspond to timber appraisal map

	LD-MS6 Form 001	Last Revised: May 23, 20
E Cla	Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch	
o. Sta	sh management Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the reg How well were slash regulations followed during the harve	
	Regulations were fully implemented across the enti	<u> </u>
	Regulations were mostly implemented across most	
	Regulations were partially implemented over some	
	Regulations were not followed	of the sate
	Comments	
6. Util	lization	
	Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale	e were followed:
	Utilization was good: little or no merchantable woo	
	Utilization was fair come merchantable wood (less	
ı	Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchanta	
	If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood le	
	Comments	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. For	Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantal If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood le Comments	
,,,,	Forest development plans are well considered and appropri	ate for the site
	Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fu	
	Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsi	
	Comments	stent with site/conditions
8 Scal	Comments	
o. Sea	How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Sc.	ale Stick
	If an alternate landing is used:	arcStick
	Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes_	No
	Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to	
	Are leads clearly marked? Veg. No. None see	
	Are loads clearly marked? YesNoNone see:	
	Comments	
9. Sale	documentation	
	Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes_	No
	How many times was this sale visited while it was being ha	
	Comments	·····
10. Vis	sual quality	
	Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality	guidelines? Yes No
	If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No	
	Comments	
11. Nat	tural Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes	No . If so, HOW
12. OY	Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implementation)	mented)
	Good (generally sale was well designed and implemen	
	Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but	
	Poor (sale design and implementation was OK, but	
	1 001 (sale design and implementation were well below	(expeciation)
neneci	tor suggestions for improvements	

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

Last Revised: May 23, 2014

#### **MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form**

Permittee ^	2014 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE  aiser's name JAWBSON, BAKKEN  TEVE LYSENG Permit # Z14043
	ns and general site conditions
	agement zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)
	lelineation of the RMZ
	ne is accurately and clearly marked
	ne is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
	ne is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
	ne was not considered at all within the sale design
B. RMZ harvest	The true has been constanted at all tribing the bare decorging.
	g has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
	g has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
	ting occurred within the RMZ
C. Erosion/Runofi	<u> </u>
	diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes  No
	were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No
D. Rutting	The same structures appropriately planed. The same structure is same structure and same s
	general sale area rutted: 10% or less
	terials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill
	Yes, No
Comments	
<b>boundaries</b> Sale boundary is c	learly and accurately delineated in paint
Sale boundary is a Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe	learly and accurately delineated in paint ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint s not correspond to timber appraisal map ot clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
Sale boundary is a Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint s not correspond to timber appraisal map
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint s not correspond to timber appraisal map ot clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ent of access roads and landings
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint s not correspond to timber appraisal map ot clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co Excellent	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co Excellent Good	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co  Excellent Good Fair	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co  Excellent Good Fair Poor	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is c Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co  Excellent Good Fair Poor	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is a Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co  Excellent Good Fair Poor Comments[Show calc.  Te trees and reserv	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paints not correspond to timber appraisal map ot clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ent of access roads and landings  on of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No no indition of the access roads and landings  (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)  (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)  (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing & % new rds & Indgs of sale areal
Sale boundary is a Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co  Excellent Good Fair Poor Comments[Show calc.  Te trees and reserv Estimate the percer	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is a Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co  Excellent Good Fair Poor Comments[Show calc.  Te trees and reserve Estimate the percent per acre	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary is a Sale boundary is a Sale boundary doe Sale boundary is n Comments  dition and placem The size and locati Comments Rate the current co  Excellent Good Fair Poor Comments[Show calc.  Te trees and reserve Estimate the percent per acre	ccurate, but not clearly marked in paint

ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

	LD-MS6 Form 001	Last Revised: May 23, 2
5 914	Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch sh management	
3, <b>31</b> a	Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the results to the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the results that the How well were slash regulations followed during the har Regulations were fully implemented across the eraction Regulations were mostly implemented across most Regulations were partially implemented over som Regulations were not followed  Comments	vesting: ntire sale st of the sale ne of the sale
6 116	lization	
v, UU	Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the s	ale were followed:
	Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wo	
	Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (les	ss than 4 cds) left on the sale
<u>.</u>	Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchan	lable wood) left on sale
7. For 8. Sca	If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood Comments	
7. For	rest development plans	
TTOL	Forest development plans are well considered and approp	riate for the site
	Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate forest development plans were provided, but may not be	fully appropriate for site
	Forest development plans were not provided, or are incon	gistont with gita/conditions
	Comments	sistent with site/conditions
8. Sca	ling	
o. ben	How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer S	Scala Stick
	If an alternate landing is used:	ScaleStick
	<del>""</del>	NI
	Are tickets being used to account for used moved	
	Are leads eleastly marked? Yes No No No	to alternate landing? Yes No
	Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None se	
	Comments	
9. Sale	documentation	
	Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes	s /No
	How many times was this sale visited while it was being h	
	Comments	
10. Vis	sual quality	
	Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality	
	If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No	
	Comments	
11. Na	tural Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes	No If so, HOW
12. Ov	erall timber sale vating	
.=. 0 ;	Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well imple	emented)
	Good (generally sale was well designed and implementation)	
	Average (sale design and implementation was OK, b	
	Poor (sale design and implementation were well belo	or some key areas for improvement)
	1 cor (saic design and implementation were well beto	w expectation)

Date of	Audit: 12 8/14 Inspector(s) name(s) Moore  Timber sale appraiser's name Pietruzewik I
<b>.</b>	Timber sale appraiser's name PIETRUZEWIKI
]	Permittee BLANDIN BOREEN Permit # 214016
1. Wate	er quality concerns and general site conditions
1	A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)  Describe delineation of the RMZ
	Zone is accurately and clearly marked
	Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
	Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
_	Zone was not considered at all within the sale design
H	3. RMZ harvest
	Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
	Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
	No harvesting occurred within the RMZ
(	C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation
	Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? YesNo
	If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? YesNo
Ι	D. Rutting
_	Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less
Ŀ	E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent, Present, if Present-spill
	response taken Yes, No
C	Comments
_	
	oundaries
	ale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
	ale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
	ale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map
	ale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
C	Comments
3. Condi	tion and placement of access roads and landings
	The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No
C	commentsate the current condition of the access roads and landings
R	ate the current condition of the access roads and landings
	Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
	Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
	Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
	Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)
С	Omments[Show calc. & % new rds & Indgs of sale area]
I agree	trees and reserve areas
E.	stimate the percent of sale designated as reserved or estimate the number of leave tree or acre > 15 acre
11	an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? YesNo
	omments

Poor \_\_\_ (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)
Inspector suggestions for improvements Better ulilynating and Slash

management.

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes

Last Revised: May 23, 2014

LD-MS6 Form 001

5. Slash management

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

# MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

	Timber sale appraiser's name PETRUZEWSKI admin: GRAHAM Permittee LOWELL JOY Permit # 213017
<b>.</b> 4	er quality concerns and general site conditions
ıı	A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)
	Describe delineation of the RMZ
	Zone is accurately and clearly marked
	Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
	Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
	Zone was not considered at all within the sale design
	B. RMZ harvest
	Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
	Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
	No harvesting occurred within the RMZ
	C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation
	Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No
	If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? YesNo
	D. Rutting
	Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less
	E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill
	response taken Yes, No
	Comments
1	boundaries Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
3 4 4	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint  Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint  Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map  Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
4	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map Comments
d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map Comments  lition and placement of access roads and landings
d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map Comments  lition and placement of access roads and landings The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo
did	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
.d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
.d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
.d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
.d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
d	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
dd ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
dd ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
	Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED

	LD-MS6 Form 001	Last Revised: May 23, 201
	Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch	
5. Sla	sh management	
	Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for	the regeneration plans Yes No
	How well were slash regulations followed during the	
	Regulations were fully implemented across the	he entire sale
	Regulations were mostly implemented across	
	Regulations were partially implemented over	some of the sale
	Regulations were not followed	1 1 0 1 1 1 -
	Regulations were not followed  Comments Slash mgmt. was grant a life thin because of h	eat. Said to believe it
	was a 1st thin because of h	ow well slash was dithe
6. Util	deation	
	Rate how well the utilization standards specified on t	
	Utilization was good: little or no merchantable	
	Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood	d (less than 4 cds) left on the sale
;	Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of mer	chantable wood) left on sale
	If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for w	rood left on site? Yes No
; •	Comments	
7. For	est development plans	-
	Forest development plans are well considered and ap	
	Forest development plans were provided, but may no	
	Forest development plans were not provided, or are in	
	Comments	
8. Scal		
	How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consum	ner ScaleStick
	If an alternate landing is used:	
	Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked?	
7. For	Are tickets being used to account for wood me	oved to alternate landing? Yes No
	Are loads clearly marked? Yes No No	ne seen
	Comments	
9. Sale	documentation	
	Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate?	
	How many times was this sale visited while it was be-	ing harvested?
	Comments	
10. Vis	ual quality	
	Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality	uality guidelines? YesNo
	If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes N	
	Comments	
11. Nat	ural Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated?	Yes No If so, HOW
12. Ove	erall timber sale rating	
	Excellent(the sale was well designed, and well i	implemented)
	Good (generally sale was well designed and imp	elemented, some areas for improvement)
	Average (sale design and implementation was O	K, but some key areas for improvement)
	Poor (sale design and implementation were well	below expectation)
	or suggestions for improvements	- /

#### Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

of Audit: 12 2214 Inspector(s) na Timber sale appraiser's name BING	/ HOMINISTRATIOR GRAHAM
Permittee Down AN	Permit # <u>214046</u>
ter quality concerns and general site co	onditions
A. Riparian management zone on the sa	ale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)
Describe delineation of the RM2	
Zone is accurately and cl	
Zone is clearly marked, t	out does not follow FRC guidelines
Zone is not clearly marke	ed and does not follow FRC guidelines
Zone was not considered	at all within the sale design
B. RMZ harvest	
<del>-</del>	hin the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
_	the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
No harvesting occurred within the	ne RMZ
C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation	
	eeded on skid trails and access roads? Yes No_\
	appropriately placed? Yes No
D. Rutting	
Percent of general sale area rutte	d: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60% s]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill
E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants	s]: Absent, Present, if Present-spill
response taken Yes, No	
Comments	
boundaries Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly	<u> </u>
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time	y marked in paint
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing	mber appraisal map does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing	mber appraisal map does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and the current condition of the current condition condition condition condition condition condition condition con	mber appraisal map does not correspond to timber appraisal map  nd landings ngs are appropriate for the sale size YesNo roads and landings
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and Excellent (little or no	mber appraisal map does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings ags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutti	mber appraisal map  does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings  mags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings  rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  mag, little waste or excess debris left on the landings
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutting Fair (Some noticeable))	mber appraisal map  does not correspond to timber appraisal map  nd landings  ngs are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings  rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  ng, little waste or excess debris left on the landings  te rutting, debris left on landings)
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutting Fair (Some noticeable poor (considerable and accurately of the security of the access roads and landing comments (Some noticeable and good (considerable and good	mber appraisal map  does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings  mags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings  rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  mg, little waste or excess debris left on the landings  the rutting, debris left on landings)  mount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutting Fair (Some noticeable poor (considerable and accurately of the access roads and landing comments.	mber appraisal map  does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings  mags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings  rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  mg, little waste or excess debris left on the landings  the rutting, debris left on landings)  mount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutting Fair (Some noticeable poor (considerable and accurately of the security of the access roads and landing comments (Some noticeable and good (considerable and good	mber appraisal map  does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings  mags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings  rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  mg, little waste or excess debris left on the landings  the rutting, debris left on landings)  mount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access roads and Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutting Fair (Some noticeable and Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & Indgs of sale and reserve areas	mber appraisal mapdoes not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings ags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings) ag, little waste or excess debris left on the landings age rutting, debris left on landings) age rutting, debris left on landings age rutting, much waste/debris left on landing age real
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access reaction of Sale and Comments  Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutting Fair (Some noticeable and Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & Indgs of Sale and Section of Sale and Section of Sale designated as Sale de	mber appraisal map  does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings  mags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings  rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  mg, little waste or excess debris left on the landings  the rutting, debris left on landings)  mount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately of Sale boundary does not correspond to time Sale boundary is not clearly marked and Comments  dition and placement of access roads and The size and location of roads and landing Comments  Rate the current condition of the access reaction and Comments  Excellent (little or no Good (minimal rutting Fair (Some noticeable and Comments Show calc. & % new rds. & Indgs of sale and reserve areas  Estimate the percent of sale designated as per acre. 12.	mber appraisal map  does not correspond to timber appraisal map  and landings  ags are appropriate for the sale size YesNo  roads and landings  rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)  ags, little waste or excess debris left on the landings  the rutting, debris left on landings)  mount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing  real

	LD-MS6 Form 001	Last Revised: May 23, 20
	Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch	
5. Slas	h management	
	Were the regulations appropriate to the site, ar	d for the regeneration plans Yes No
	How well were slash regulations followed dur	
	Regulations were fully implemented ac	
	Regulations were mostly implemented	
	Regulations were partially implemente	d over some of the sale
	Regulations were not followed	· 
	Comments	
6. Utili:	zation	
	Rate how well the utilization standards specific	ed on the sale were followed:
	Utilization was good: little or no merch	
		e wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale
	Utilization was poor (more than 4 cds	of merchantable wood) left on sale
	If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged	for wood left on site? Ves No
7. Fore	Comments	
7. Fore	st development plans	
	Forest development plans are well considered a	and appropriate for the site
	Forest development plans were provided, but n	nay not be fully appropriate for site
	Forest development plans were not provided, o	
	Comments	
8. Scali	ng	
	How is the scaling being done: SOAVC	onsumer Scale Stick
	If an alternate landing is used:	
	Are piles at alternate landing clearly ma	
		ood moved to alternate landing? Yes No
	Are loads clearly marked? Yes No_	None seen
	Comments	
o Colo d	locumentation	
	In managed of galo gramma delay as a secondate and a secondate	rote? Ver No
	How many times was this sale visited while it v	was being borrested?
	Comments	vas being har vesteu?
	Comments	
l0. Visu	al quality	1
-	Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC vi	sual quality guidelines? Yes No
	If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes	No
(	Comments	
_		
1. Natu	ıral Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorpor	ated? Yes No If so, HOW
(A C		
	rall timber sale rating	11.1.1.1.1.15
	Excellent (the sale was well designed, and	
	Good (generally sale was well designed an	
		GTT 4
1	Average (sale design and implementation Poor (sale design and implementation wer	

"Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

I/I
Date of Audit: 430/15 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE, BROWN  Timber sale appraiser's name KBCCY BROWN  Permittee POTATH  Permit# 213066
Timber sale appraiser's name V6004 BROWN
Permittee POTLACH Permit # 213066
1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions
A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)
Describe delineation of the RMZ
Zone is accurately and clearly marked
Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
Zone was not considered at all within the sale design
B. RMZ harvest
Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
No harvesting occurred within the RMZ
C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation
Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No
If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No
D. Rutting
Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less10-30% 30-60%+60%
E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent, if Present-spill
response taken Yes, No
Comments
Comments
2. Sale boundaries
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map
Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
Comments VERY GOOD LARGE BLUE PAINT MARKS
,
3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings
The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size YesNo
Comments
Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings
Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)
Comments[Show cale. & % new rds & Indgs of sale area]
4. Leave trees and reserve areas
Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved <u>70</u> or estimate the number of leave trees
The beyond of part of part of the part of
ner acre
per acre  If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No
If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No
If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No Comments KED PINE THIN - SOME AKEA OF SALE WAS ISE THINNED

	LD-MS6 Form 001	Last Revised: April 30, 20
r (	Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch	•
	n management	
	Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regener	ration plans Yes No
	How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting	g:
	Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sa	
	Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the	
	Regulations were partially implemented over some of the	
	Regulations were not followed	<del></del>
	C	
6. Utiliz	ration	
	Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale we	re followed:
	Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood wa	as left on the sale 🗸
	Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than	n 4 cds) left on the sale
	Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable	wood) left on sale
	If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left or	
	Comments	
7. Fore:	st development plans	
	Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate f	For the site
	Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully a	
	Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsisten	
	Comments	·
8. Scali	ng	
	How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale_	Stick
	If an alternate landing is used:	A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-A-
	Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? YesN	0
	Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alt	
	Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen	
	Comments	
9. Sale	documentation	
	T 1 C 1 '' 1, 1 , 0 X7 . A	No , , ,
	How many times was this sale visited while it was being harves	ted? 4x in three
	Comments	
10. Vist	ıal quality	
	Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guid	lelines? YesNo
	If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No	
	If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No Comments YES. HE SALE IS LOCATED ALL VISUAL QUALITY GUIDEUNES = THINNING TURB Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes	ONG STATE HWY. 89
	VISUAL QUALITY GUIDEVINES = THINNING >	LOW SLASH
11. Nat	ural Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes	No If so, HOW
	rall timber sale rating	
12. Ove	Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implement	
	Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented,	, some areas for improvement)
	Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but sor	ne key areas for improvement)
	Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but sor Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expenses)	ne key areas for improvement)

Last Revised: April 30, 2015

LD-MS6	Form	001	
--------	------	-----	--

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

M	CSFC	Timber	Sales	<b>Internal</b>	Audit	Form
		A. A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.				

Last Revised: April 30, 2015

Date of Audit: 4/30/15 Inspector(s) name(s) Moore Brown
I imber sale appraiser's, name <b>CECCY</b> DEOUR
Permittee Kon KRUETH Permit # 214021
. Water quality concerns and general site conditions
A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)
Describe delineation of the RMZ
Zone is accurately and clearly marked
Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
Zone was not considered at all within the sale design
B. RMZ harvest
Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines  Howesting has (will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines No harvesting occurred within the RMZ
C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation
Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No
If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No
D. Rutting
Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%
E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent, Present, if Present-spill
response taken Yes, No
Comments
Sale boundaries
Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map
Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
Comments VERY GOOD LARGE PAINT MARKS
Condition and placement of access roads and landings
The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No
Comments
Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings
Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)
Comments[Show calc, & % new rds & Indgs of sale area]
Leave trees and reserve areas
Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved $\frac{76}{\text{or}}$ estimate the number of leave tr
per acre
If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No
a come of the formation of the comment of the comme
Comments SOME OF THE SALE AREA IS RED PINE ISE

	LD-MS6 Form 001	Last Revised: April 30, 2015
<b>7</b> 01	Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch	_
5. Sk	Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the reger How well were slash regulations followed during the harvest Regulations were fully implemented across the entire Regulations were mostly implemented across most of Regulations were partially implemented over some of Regulations were not followed	ing: sale f the sale
	Comments Low SLASH	<u>.</u>
6. Ut	ilization	
	Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale will utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood.	was left on the sale nan 4 cds) left on the sale le wood) left on sale on site? Yes No
I Add 7 Fo	rest development plans	
TO ENSURE THIS CC	Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsisted Comments	y appropriate for site
OCEDURE ON THE INTRANE	Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left Comments  rest development plans  Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsiste Comments  aling  How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale If an alternate landing is used:  Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to a Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen_ Comments  le documentation  Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes How many times was this sale visited while it was being harv Comments	No alternate landing? Yes No
∄ ∃ 9. Sal	e documentation	
CHECK ORIGINA	Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes V How many times was this sale visited while it was being harv Comments	ested? 4K m ZI day
10. V	isual quality	
	Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality gu If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No Comments_	<del>-</del> -
	atural Heritage/Arch,/Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes	No If so, HOW
12. O	verall timber sale rating  Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some (sale design and implementation were well below experienced.)	ed, some areas for improvement) some key areas for improvement)
Inspe	ctor suggestions for improvements	