

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 12/2014 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
 Timber sale appraiser's name GRANTAM, BAKKEN, NORLAW
 Permittee BEVSON Permit # 214049

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked

Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines

Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines

No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint

Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)

Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)

Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)

Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments [Show calc. & % new rds & lngs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved _____ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre > 20 trees/acre

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 6+ - still active

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No If so, HOW

A check of the NH database and visual survey

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 12/8/2014 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
 Timber sale appraiser's name GRAHAM
 Permittee STEVE LITZENS LONE OAK Permit # 214017

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

- Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No
- If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
 - Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
 - Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map
 - Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
- Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
- Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
- Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
- Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments [Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved _____ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 5-6. Oak, w s, RP

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 12

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW _____

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 12/8/2014 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
Timber sale appraiser's name JALOBSON, BAKKEN
Permittee STEVE LYSEN Permit # 214043

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

- Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No
- If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
 - Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
 - Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map
 - Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
- Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
- Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
- Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
- Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved _____ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 715 trees/acre

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 9

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW _____

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 12/8/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
Timber sale appraiser's name PIETRUSZEWSKI
Permittee BLANDIN/BOREEN Permit # 214016

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

- Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No
- If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
 - Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
 - Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map
 - Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map
- Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No
Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
- Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
- Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
- Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved _____ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre 715 ac

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments Balsam fir wasn't slash and aspen slash were left in a manner which wasn't close to being desirable heights

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments Some larger aspen were left standing - and larger birch.

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 10+

Comments

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements Better utilization and slash management.

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

MG

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 12/22/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
Timber sale appraiser's name PIETRUSZSKI Admin: GRAHAM
Permittee LOWELL JOY Permit # 213017

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes ___ No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked ___

Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines ___

Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines ___

Zone was not considered at all within the sale design ___

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines ___

Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines ___

No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes ___ No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes ___ No ___

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% ___ 30-60% ___ +60% ___

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present ___ , if Present-spill response taken Yes ___ , No ___ .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint ___

Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ___

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)

Good ___ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)

Fair ___ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)

Poor ___ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments [Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved 60% or estimate the number of leave trees per acre _____

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes ___ No ___

Comments This sale is a red pine first thin.
No Darked up trees at all.

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments Slashy mgmt. was great. Hard to believe it was a 1st time because of how well slash was distributed

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 6

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW _____

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

MG

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 12/22/14 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE
 Timber sale appraiser's name BING / ADMINISTRATOR GRAHAM
 Permittee BOWMAN Permit # Z14046

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked

Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines

Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines

Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines

No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint

Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Comments _____

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)

Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)

Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)

Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved _____ or estimate the number of leave trees per acre > 12.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments _____

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 6 + still active

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW _____

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 4/30/15 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORE, BROWN
 Timber sale appraiser's name KELLY BROWN
 Permittee POTLACH Permit # 213066

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes ___ No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

Zone is accurately and clearly marked ___

Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines ___

Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines ___

Zone was not considered at all within the sale design ___

B. RMZ harvest

Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines ___

Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines ___

No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes ___ No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes ___ No ___

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% ___ 30-60% ___ +60% ___

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present ___ , if Present-spill response taken Yes ___ , No ___ .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint

Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint ___

Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map ___

Comments VERY GOOD LARGE BLUE PAINT MARKS

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No ___

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)

Good ___ (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)

Fair ___ (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)

Poor ___ (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lngs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved 70 or estimate the number of leave trees per acre _____.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes ___ No ___

Comments RED PINE THIN - SOME AREA OF SALE WAS 1ST THINNED, SOME 2ND THINNED

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No
How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:
Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale
Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale
Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale
Regulations were not followed

Comments LOW SLASH LEVELS

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:
Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale
Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale
Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale
If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site
Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site
Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions
Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick
If an alternate landing is used:
Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No
Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No
Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No
How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 4x in three weeks
Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No
If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No
Comments YES. THE SALE IS LOCATED ALONG STATE HWY. 89
VISUAL QUALITY GUIDELINES = THINNING & LOW SLASH

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)
Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)
Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)
Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

MCSFC Timber Sales Internal Audit Form

Date of Audit: 4/30/15 Inspector(s) name(s) MOORGE, BROWN
 Timber sale appraiser's name KELLY BROWN
 Permittee RON KRUEH Permit # 214021

1. Water quality concerns and general site conditions

A. Riparian management zone on the sale area? Yes No (if No, skip to #1c)

Describe delineation of the RMZ

- Zone is accurately and clearly marked
- Zone is clearly marked, but does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone is not clearly marked and does not follow FRC guidelines
- Zone was not considered at all within the sale design

B. RMZ harvest

- Harvesting has or will occur within the RMZ and meets FRC guidelines
- Harvesting has/will occur within the RMZ and does not meet FRC guidelines
- No harvesting occurred within the RMZ

C. Erosion/Runoff mitigation

Are water diversion structures needed on skid trails and access roads? Yes No

If needed, were these structures appropriately placed? Yes No

D. Rutting

Percent of general sale area rutted: 10% or less 10-30% 30-60% +60%

E. Hazardous materials [fuels/lubricants]: Absent , Present , if Present-spill response taken Yes , No .

Comments _____

2. Sale boundaries

- Sale boundary is clearly and accurately delineated in paint
- Sale boundary is accurate, but not clearly marked in paint
- Sale boundary does not correspond to timber appraisal map
- Sale boundary is not clearly marked and does not correspond to timber appraisal map

Comments VERY GOOD LARGE PAINT MARKS

3. Condition and placement of access roads and landings

The size and location of roads and landings are appropriate for the sale size Yes No

Comments _____

Rate the current condition of the access roads and landings

- Excellent (little or no rutting, no waste or excess debris on the landings)
- Good (minimal rutting, little waste or excess debris left on the landings)
- Fair (Some noticeable rutting, debris left on landings)
- Poor (considerable amount of rutting, much waste/debris left on landing)

Comments[Show calc. & % new rds & lndgs of sale area] _____

4. Leave trees and reserve areas

Estimate the percent of sale designated as reserved 70 or estimate the number of leave trees per acre _____.

If an RMZ is present, are the leave trees in clumps adjacent to the RMZ? Yes No

Comments SOME OF THE SALE AREA IS RED PINE 1 1/2 THIN

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT

Owner: Dennis Hummitzsch

5. Slash management

Were the regulations appropriate to the site, and for the regeneration plans Yes No

How well were slash regulations followed during the harvesting:

Regulations were fully implemented across the entire sale

Regulations were mostly implemented across most of the sale

Regulations were partially implemented over some of the sale

Regulations were not followed

Comments LOW SLASH

6. Utilization

Rate how well the utilization standards specified on the sale were followed:

Utilization was good: little or no merchantable wood was left on the sale

Utilization was fair: some merchantable wood (less than 4 cds) left on the sale

Utilization was poor, (more than 4 cds of merchantable wood) left on sale

If utilization was fair/poor, was logger charged for wood left on site? Yes No

Comments _____

7. Forest development plans

Forest development plans are well considered and appropriate for the site

Forest development plans were provided, but may not be fully appropriate for site

Forest development plans were not provided, or are inconsistent with site/conditions

Comments _____

8. Scaling

How is the scaling being done: SOAV Consumer Scale Stick

If an alternate landing is used:

Are piles at alternate landing clearly marked? Yes No

Are tickets being used to account for wood moved to alternate landing? Yes No

Are loads clearly marked? Yes No None seen

Comments _____

9. Sale documentation

Is record of sale supervision complete and accurate? Yes No

How many times was this sale visited while it was being harvested? 4x in 21 days

Comments _____

10. Visual quality

Does the timber sale design incorporate FRC visual quality guidelines? Yes No

If provided, were the guidelines followed? Yes No

Comments _____

11. Natural Heritage/Arch./Cultural Resources incorporated? Yes No . If so, HOW

12. Overall timber sale rating

Excellent (the sale was well designed, and well implemented)

Good (generally sale was well designed and implemented, some areas for improvement)

Average (sale design and implementation was OK, but some key areas for improvement)

Poor (sale design and implementation were well below expectation)

Inspector suggestions for improvements _____

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT
ANY PRINTED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT CONTROLLED
CHECK ORIGINAL PROCEDURE ON THE INTRANET TO ENSURE THIS COPY IS CURRENT